DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

March 25, 1988

ALL COUNTY LETTER NO. 88-33

TO ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: RUTAN COURT ORDER AND IMPLEMENTATION METHODOLOGY

REFERENCES: ALL COUNTY LETTER NO. 86-45, 86-55, 86-84, 86-90, 86-117, 86-129 AND

ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTOR MEDS TRANSMITTAL OF JUNE 10,1986

On February 19, 1988, Judge Michael E. Sallachey of the Superior Court for the County of Alameda signed the final order for the retroactive portion of the Rutan v. McMahon Court Case (see attached court order). The retroactive period covered by the court case is June 1, 1983 to July 31, 1986. Rutan class members were applicants for, or recipients of, AFDC during the retroactive period when they received a lump sum and spent all or part of the lump sum before receiving an adequate and timely written explanation of the lump sum rule.

Implementation is scheduled for August 1, 1988. An ACL with implementing instructions and materials will be sent out to the counties by July 1, 1988.

Notification of potential class members will be by two different methods. The method used will be determined by an individual county's ability to identify potential class members. In 40 counties referred to as "Mailing Counties," the potential class members will be identified by either manually searching existing computer listings or statistical reports, or by a specific computer generated report. The mailing counties will mail an Intent to Claim Form to identified class members on August 1, 1988. All mailing counties are instructed to compile a mailing list of all potential Rutan class members if they have not already done so. This mailing list may contain an overinclusive class of individuals, e.g., members of families who became ineligible due to the receipt of excess income. The mailing list once established must be updated with the most current addresses available before mailing to potential class members.

The "Mailing Counties" are: Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Contra Costa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Madera, Marin, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc, Mono, Monterey, Nevada, Orange, Placer, Plumas, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Tehama, Tulare, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba.



The other 18 counties referred to as "Face to Face Counties," for the period of one year will identify potential class members at the time of application if the applicant had been on aid previously at any time during the retroactive period and by screening open caseloads at the time of annual redetermination for recipients who underwent a lump sum period of ineligibility.

The "Face to Face Counties" are: Butte, Colusa, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Los Angeles, Mariposa, Napa, San Benito, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Trinity, Tuolumne.

If you have any questions about the $\underline{\text{Rutan}}$ Court Case, please call Mr. Vincent Toolan at (916) 324-2007.

ROBERT A. HOREL Deputy Director

Attachment

EVELYN R. FRANK 1 KATHARINE S. MILLER LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF ALAMEDA COUNTY よくいしょくいさい 2357 San Pablo Avenue FILED Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (415) 465-4376 FEB 19 1988 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs RENE C DAVIDSON, County Clerk WINIFRED SMITH By DELAINE WILLIAMS, Deputy Deputy Attorney General 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 557-2969 Attorney for Defendants 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 MARCI RUTAN, ESTANISLAO SANDOVAL, SANDRA TATE, NO. 612542-4 13 Plaintiffs, CLASS ACTION 14 CONSENT DECREE 15 LINDA McMAHON, Director of the October 6, 1987 California Department of Social DATE: 2:00 p.m. 16 TIME: Services; DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL No. 1 DEPT: SERVICES; JESSE R. HUFF, Director 17 of the Department of Finance; DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 2 | | <u>Page</u> | |
|----|------|--|----|
| 3 | I. | CLASS CERTIFICATION | - |
| - | II. | BINDING EFFECT OF CONSENT DECREE | 2 |
| - | III. | PROSPECTIVE RELIEF | 2 |
| 6 | | A. Prior Notice of the Lump Sum Rule | 2 |
| 7 | | B. Modification of the Notice | 2 |
| 8 | | C. Effect of Failure to Provide Notice | 3 |
| 9 | | D. Recoupment of overpayments | 3 |
| 10 | IV. | RETROACTIVE BENEFITS | 3 |
| 11 | | A. <u>Determination of Amount</u> | 3 |
| 12 | | B. Identification and Notice to Class Members | 4 |
| 13 | | 1. Notice of Right To Claim Retroactive Benefits . | 4 |
| 14 | | 2. Identification of Class Members | 5 |
| 15 | | (a) "Mailing counties" | 5 |
| 16 | | (b) "Face to face counties" | 6 |
| 17 | | (c) <u>Posters</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6 |
| 18 | | C. Claim Process | 7 |
| 19 | | 1. Return of Intent to Claim Forms | 7 |
| 20 | | 2. Providing Claim Forms | 8 |
| 21 | | 3. Form of Claim Forms | 8 |
| 22 | | 4. Return of Claim Forms | 9 |
| 23 | | (a) Timeliness | 9 |
| 24 | | (D) INCOMPLETE CITATIN TOTAL | 10 |
| 25 | 5 | 5. <u>Responsible Councy</u> | 10 |
| 26 | | D. PAYMENT OF CLAIMS | 10 |
| 27 | | i | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| 1 | | Dago | |
|------------|--|------|---|
| 2 | | Page | l |
| 3 | | . 11 | į |
| 4 | 1. <u>Deadlines for Processing Claim Forms</u> | | |
| 5 | 2. Treatment of Payments | . 11 | |
| 6 | 3. Offset of Previous Overpayments | . 11 | |
| | V. IMPLEMENTATION OF CONSENT DECREE | . 11 | |
| 8 | A. Promulgation of Regulations | . 11 | |
| 9 | B. Review and Approval of Forms, Notices, and | | |
| 10 | Regulations | . 12 | |
| 11 | C. <u>Preservation of Case Files</u> | . 12 | |
| 12 | VI. REPORTING | . 12 | |
| 13 | A. "Mailing Counties" | . 12 | , |
| 14 | B. "Face to Face Counties" | . 13 | 3 |
| 15 | VII. DISPOSITION OF REMAINING CLAIMS | . 14 | 1 |
| 16 | VIII. CLAIMS OF NAMED PLAINTIFFS | . 14 | 4 |
| 17 | IX. ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS | . 15 | 5 |
| | X. CONTINUING JURISDICTION | . 1 | 5 |
| 18 | | | |
| 1 9 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | 7 <u>; ;</u> | | |

In this class action, plaintiffs seek prospective and retroactive relief arising from defendants' failure to give a written explanation of the lump sum rule, EAS §44-207.4 to 4 recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children ("AFDC") prior to the time that they receive lump sum income. On August 6 20, 1986, this court entered a preliminary injunction which, inter alia, enjoined defendants McMahon and Department of Social Services ("DSS") from applying the lump sum rule to any recipient of AFDC who had not received prior notice, in a specified form, of 10 the terms of the rule.

The parties wish to settle this action without the necessity of further litigation. They hereby enter into the following consent decree:

CLASS CERTIFICATION T.

For purposes of this Consent Decree, a class shall be certified, defined as follows:

All persons residing in California who, at any time on or after June 1, 1983, (a) received AFDC benefits; (b) received lump sum income while they were receiving AFDC; (c) did not receive an adequate written explanation of the lump sum rule before they received the lump sum payment; and (d) spent all or part of the lump sum payment before receiving such a written explanation of . the lump sum rule, or an adequate notice of action explaining the consequences of receiving lump sum income. For purposes of this Consent Decree, an "adequate written explanation" contains that information set forth in the notice attached to the preliminary

1

26

1

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20i

injunction herein as Exhibit A.

II. BINDING EFFECT OF CONSENT DECREE

This consent decree shall be binding upon defendant DSS, upon defendant McMahon and her successors in interest, upon defendants' agents the county welfare departments, and upon all those acting in concert with them or any of them.

TIT. PROSPECTIVE RELIEF

A. Prior Notice of the Lump Sum Rule

Defendants McMahon and Department of Social Services

(hereinafter "defendants") shall continue to provide a written

explanation of the terms of the lump sum rule to all applicants

for AFDC benefits, and to all recipients at the time of the annual

redetermination. The notice must be a separate document, and must

contain the information set forth in the notice attached to the

preliminary injunction herein as Exhibit A. Counties will be

required to orally advise applicants and recipients of the

importance of the notice at the time it is given, and shall

document that the notice was given in the case file.

B. Modification of the Notice

Defendants may change the format or wording of the notice in a manner consistent with the previous paragraph. For a period of three years after the signing and approval of this consent decree, prior to finalizing any such modifications, they shall give plaintiffs' counsel no less than fifteen working days notice. If plaintiffs' counsel objects to the modification, they may seek relief from the court upon five days notice to defendants. In

that event, defendants shall not finalize the modification pending judicial review. In the event that defendants modify the form or content of the notice at the expiration of the three year period referred to above, plaintiffs or any member of the class may seek judicial relief if they believe that such modification is not in accordance with law.

C. Effect of Failure to Provide Notice

Defendants and their agents, the county welfare departments, shall not apply the lump sum rule to any AFDC recipient who received and spent lump sum income as defined in EAS §44-207.4 prior to receiving the notice described in paragraph III(A), above. There shall be a presumption that the notice was not given if receipt of the notice is not documented in the case file, as required under paragraph III(A). The foregoing presumption shall apply to each person who applied for AFDC benefits or who went through an annual redetermination at any time following promulgation of regulations implementing this Consent Decree.

D. Recoupment of overpayments

Defendants shall not recoup or attempt to recoup any overpayment of AFDC benefits received as a result of the application of the lump sum rule unless the recipient received an adequate written explanation of the lump sum rule prior to receipt of lump sum income. The presumption set forth in subparagraph (C), above shall apply in like manner for purposes of this paragraph.

IV. RETROACTIVE BENEFITS

A. Determination of Amount

The period of ineligibility for class members will be redetermined, based upon the amount of lump sum income available to them after they received an adequate written explanation or an adequate notice of action concerning the lump sum rule, as set forth in paragraph I, above. The remaining months of ineligibility imposed in the original lump sum determination will be rescinded, and class members will be provided retroactive AFDC benefits for that period, as otherwise eligible.

Class members whose AFDC benefits were not discontinued, but who were assessed an overpayment as a result of receipt of lump sum income, will have their disqualification period and the amount of the overpayment recalculated in accordance with the method described in the previous paragraph. All sums in excess of the recalculated overpayment which were recouped by any method, including grant offset and tax intercept, will be restored. This paragraph shall not apply to persons who failed to report lump sum income either on their CA-7 monthly report form, or by any other method.

All benefits and refunds provided pursuant to this section will be subject to interest at 10% per annum.

B. Identification and Notice to Class Members

l. Notice of Right To Claim Retroactive Benefits

The county welfare department will send or otherwise provide to class members a notice advising them that they may apply for retroactive AFDC benefits, and/or have money restored to them if

7.1

they were subject to collection action as a result of overpayments arising from lump sum income. The notice (hereinafter referred to as an "intent to claim notice"), will be in English and Spanish. In addition, the notice will contain a "bullet" in Vietnamese, Laotian, Chinese and Cambodian, advising in substance: "The county may owe you money. You may contact your worker for a translation of this notice."

The notice will prominently advise claimants to fill in their current address and their telephone number, if any. In addition, claimants will be asked what county they were living in when they received the lump sum payment. Claimants will be advised to return the notice to their local county welfare department if they wish to claim reimbursement.

2. Identification of Class Members

(a) "Mailing counties"

Those counties which can identify some or all members of the class without the necessity of reviewing case files shall mail the notice described in the previous paragraph to all such persons at the most current address maintained by the county. In the case of class members who are no longer on aid, the county shall review MEDS and food stamp records in order to determine the most recent address available if the notice is returned as undeliverable. This method shall be used to notify class members who were subject to recoupment of overpayments prior to entry of the preliminary injunction herein, as well as to all other members of the class.

These counties shall begin to identify the name and current

address of class members promptly upon approval of this Consent Decree, and shall mail the notices described herein within forty-five (45) days after DSS issues regulations implementing this Decree.

The method of notification described in this paragraph may be used by counties which are unable to identify class members without a review of case files, at their option. Such counties may mail the notice to any classification of former and current AFDC recipients which is calculated to include the members of the class, as defined in paragraph I, above.

(b) "Face to face counties"

For one year following implementation of this consent decree, counties which cannot identify all class members without the necessity of reviewing case files (other than those counties which elect to mail notices as set forth above) shall provide the intent to claim notice to all recipients of AFDC benefits at the time of the annual redetermination, and to all applicants for AFDC benefits who had previously received such benefits at any time after June 1, 1983. Said counties shall document in the case file that the notice was given.

(c) <u>Posters</u>

Defendants shall develop posters in English and Spanish to notify class members of their right to benefits. Said posters shall in addition contain the "bullet" in the four languages described in subparagraph (B)(1), above. The posters shall be submitted to plaintiffs' attorneys for review of layout, and, once

produced, shall be placed in prominent locations in all county welfare departments and food stamp outlets. DSS shall further request that the posters be displayed in EDD offices and Social Security offices throughout California for a period of one year following issuance of regulations implementing this Consent Decree. Additionally, DSS shall send a copy of the poster in both English and Spanish to all Legal Services offices throughout California, in accordance with a list furnished by plaintiffs' counsel. All county welfare departments shall maintain copies of the informing notice and claim forms, and shall provide each form to any person upon request.

C. Claim Process

Q

1. Return of Intent to Claim Forms

Intent to claim forms must be returned to the county welfare department within thirty (30) days after receipt. The county shall allow an additional thirty (30) days to return the form in the event that the claimant had good cause for failure to return it within the initial thirty day period. In the event that the county determines that the form was not returned in a timely manner, it shall send a notice of action to the claimant, advising of its determination and of the claimant's right to request a hearing. For purposes of this paragraph, the date of the postmark, or the date of the county stamp if the form was hand delivered, shall be considered the date on which the form was returned.

The county shall retain all intent to claim forms until the

claim period established pursuant to this consent decree has closed. In each case in which a claim is subsequently filed, the county shall maintain the intent to claim form together with the claim form itself and all other documents pertaining to the claim.

2. Providing Claim Forms

within thirty calendar days after receiving a timely notice of intent to claim, the county welfare department shall provide a claim form to the person requesting it. "Face to face" counties may provide the claim form in person, at the time that the informing notice is given. Counties are not required to provide a claim form to persons who may be conclusively determined, from the county's case records, not to be a member of the class, as described in this Consent Decree. In that event, however, the county shall send a notice of action describing its determination and the claimant's right to request a hearing.

3. Form of Claim Forms

- (a) Claim forms shall be available in English, Spanish, and in the four languages described in paragraph IV(B)(1), above. The claim form shall prominently include the name and telephone number of an employee of the county welfare department who will be available to answer questions and provide assistance in completing the form. In no event shall such employees orally screen claim forms, or orally advise claimants that they are not eligible for retroactive benefits under this Consent Decree.
- (b) Before providing the claim form to the claimant, the county shall retrieve from the case file and fill in the following

information: The date upon which the class member received lump sum income; the amount of income received; the date, if any, on which county sent the class member written notice of the terms of the lump sum rule; and the period of ineligibility, as originally determined.

(c) The claim form will request the class member to provide the following information: The amount of the lump sum payment which was spent before he/she received written notice of the lump sum rule; how the money was spent; the amount of income, if any, received in any month of the disqualification period, as originally determined; whether the claimant held non-exempt resources in excess of \$1000 during the disqualification period; the members of the household during the disqualification period; and the manner in which the family survived during the disqualification period. This information will be provided under penalty of perjury. The county may request available verification from the claimant, but if verification is not available, the claim will not be denied on that basis. The county may also request the claimant to sign a consent to release form so that it may seek verification from third parties.

4. Return of Claim Forms

(a) Timeliness

Claimants shall have sixty (60) days from receipt in which to return a claim form. The county shall allow an additional thirty (30) days to return the form in the event that the claimant had good cause for failure to return it within the initial sixty day

1 period. In the event that the county determines that the form was 2|| not returned in a timely manner, it shall send a notice of action 3 to the claimant, advising of its determination and of the claimant's right to request a hearing. For purposes of this paragraph, the date of the postmark, or if none, the date on which the claimant signed the form or the date of the county stamp, if hand delivered, shall be considered the date on which the form was returned.

Incomplete claim forms

If a claimant returns an incomplete claim form, the county will return the form to the claimant with a request for additional 12 information. The claimant shall be permitted thirty (30) days in which to return the completed form. If the form is still 14 incomplete at the end of that period, the county shall attempt a personal contact to assist in completing the form. An incomplete claim will be denied only if the amount of retroactive benefits due and owing cannot be determined using the information provided.

5. Responsible county

If the class member has moved from the county in which he/she resided at the time that the lump sum payment was received, that county will be responsible for determining the amount of retroactive benefits due, and for taking all actions required by this Consent Decree. The current county of residence will be required to transmit all forms and other correspondence received from the claimant to the responsible county within fifteen (15) working days.

27

26

10

11

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

D. PAYMENT OF CLAIMS

1. Deadlines for Processing Claim Forms

The county welfare departments shall have ninety (90) days from the date that a completed claim form is received in which to process, grant or deny, and pay a claim. In the event that the claim form is incomplete, the county welfare shall have one hundred and twenty (120) days in which to process the claim. Counties will be required to send notices of action explaining all determinations made in connection with a claim, and advising of the right to hearing if the claimant believes that the county is wrong.

2. Treatment of Payments

Any payments received by a class member pursuant to this Consent Decree will not be considered income in the month received or resources in the month received or the following month for purposes of eligibility for and calculation of benefits under the AFDC program. Further, the payments will be considered in determining food stamp eligibility only to the extent permitted under EAS §65-502(h). Recipients shall be informed of this in the notice of action granting the claim.

3. Offset of Previous Overbayments

Payments due and owing pursuant to this Consent Decree settlement agreement may be offset against outstanding overpayments only if the overpayment occurred three years or less prior to the date on which the Consent Decree is approved.

V. IMPLEMENTATION OF CONSENT DECREE

--

A. Promulgation of Regulations

Defendants shall issue emergency regulations implementing this Consent Decree with all due speed. Implementation shall, in any event, commence not later than six (6) months following approval of this Consent Decree.

Defendants shall provide plaintiff's counsel with advance copies of all forms, notices, instructions, and regulations to be used in implementing this Consent Decree, no later than fifteen working days prior to finalizing such documents. In the event that plaintiff's counsel objects to any such document, the parties shall attempt to resolve their disagreement. If they are unable to do so, plaintiffs may seek further relief from the court upon five days notice to defendants. In that event, defendants shall not finalize the disputed document pending judicial review.

C. Preservation of Case Files

Defendants shall immediately instruct the county welfare departments not to destroy the case file of any person who is or may be a class member entitled to retroactive relief under the terms of this consent decree until all pending claims have been finally processed.

VI. REPORTING

A. "Mailing Counties"

Defendants shall provide plaintiffs counsel with two reports concerning those counties described in paragraph IV(B)(2)(a), above. The first report shall be provided ninety (90) days after

DSS issues regulations implementing this Consent Decree, and shall state the number of notices mailed by each county. If the county mailed notices to an overinclusive class of persons, the class shall be described in the report. The second report shall be provided nine (9) months after DSS issues regulations implementing this Consent Decree, and shall state, for each county, the total number of intent to claim forms returned to the county; the number of claim forms provided to claimants; the number of claims received; the number of claims denied as untimely; the number of claims denied as incomplete; the number of claims denied because the claimant was not a member of the class; the number of claims denied because the claimant was not substantively eligible for retroactive benefits; and the number of claims granted in whole or in part. A claim which is paid in part shall not be considered a denial.

B. "Face to Face Counties"

Defendants shall provide plaintiffs' counsel with five reports concerning the counties described in paragraph IV(B)(2)(b), above. The first four reports shall be provided on a quarterly basis, commencing three months after defendants issue regulations implementing this Consent Decree. The first three quarterly reports shall state, for each month, the number of intent to claim notices provided by each county, the number of such forms returned to the county, and the number of claim forms distributed. The fourth quarterly report shall provide the information set forth in subparagraph (A) of this section, as well

27

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as the number of claims received and pending. The fifth and final report shall be provided 1 1/2 years after implementation of this Consent Decree begins, and shall provide the information set forth in subparagraph (A).

VII. DISPOSITION OF REMAINING CLAIMS

- 1. This consent decree does not adjudicate any claim which plaintiffs or any member of the class may have concerning the validity of EAS §44-207.42, insofar as that regulation applies the lump sum rule to anticipated income.
- 2. This consent decree does not adjudicate any claim which plaintiffs or any member of the class may have concerning DSS' practice of applying prior month budgeting to determine eligibility for benefits under the state-only AFDC-U program during the first two months of a lump sum disqualification period.
- 3. This consent decree does not adjudicate any claim which 16 any person may have to reimbursement of retroactive benefits if (s) he received an overpayment which was recouped in whole or in part, and which was based upon unreported lump sum income received prior to an adequate written explanation of the lump sum rule. The rights of such persons under this Decree are set forth in |paragraph III(D), above.
 - 4. Insofar as they are raised in the First Amended Complaint herein, the claims described in the preceding paragraphs of this section are dismissed without prejudice.

VIII. CLAIMS OF NAMED PLAINTIFFS Defendants will set aside the hearing decisions of plaintiffs

1

4

5

 \cap

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

201

21

22

93

21

25

Sandra Tate and Marci Rutan/Estanislao Sandoval, and will provide them benefits for which they are otherwise eligible pursuant to this Consent Decree.

IX. ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS

Defendants stipulate that plaintiffs are the prevailing party for purposes of awarding attorneys fees and costs. Defendants further stipulate that plaintiffs may claim costs as part of an attorneys fee award, without the necessity of filing a costs bill.

The parties will attempt to reach agreement on the amount of a reasonable attorneys fee award. If they are unable to do so, plaintiffs may seek a determination from the court as to the amount of such an award, upon properly noticed motion. The court may reserve jurisdiction to enter an award of attorneys fees and costs upon such motion.

X. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The court may retain jurisdiction to enforce any provision of this consent decree.

Dated: FeS. 15, 1989

Evelyn R. Frank

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: 14/1-15 14/3

Winifred Smith

Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Defendants

S